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ABSTRACT
Changes in technology made a paradigm shift in the banking industry. Technology itself created its world in the globe of human beings. Internet banking is a service that allows customers to perform a wide range of financial services through a bank’s website. With the rapid diffusion of the Internet, web-based banking has become an alternative channel to provide banking services and products. Due to the depthness of technology in the service sector, there is a shift from the traditional service quality to electronic service quality (ESQ) in order to fit the Internet banking strategy. The aim of the paper is to rank and prioritize the Internet Banking Service Quality dimensions according to customer’s relevant importance from both bank sectors namely, private and public banks using Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) model, which is a structured technique for organizing and analyzing complex decisions. AHP has been seen as a high potential decision support tool in banking industry.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The incredible growth of the Internet has certainly changed the landscape of service industry by transforming the ways on how consumers interact with the firm and how the firm especially in the banking industry is delivering the service. Thus, the Internet is considered a powerful tool for the bank strategic plan to increase the overall banking service offerings and to create higher standard. Consequently, banks need to focus on the key elements of an effective Internet strategy, which are the quality of services to provide customer satisfaction and retention [1] [2] [3].

Recently, many banks use the Internet as new market delivery channel to offer their customers many service 24 hours with no human interaction between the customers and bank information system. Also, previous studies find that the bank e-service quality plays a very important role in customer’s perception of overall banking service quality [4]. Also, banks have been moving towards the Internet Banking (IB) currently offering customers online access to their accounts. In fact, major banks have offered a variety of services, such as checking account balance, paying credit cards and Funds transfer. Although banks have focused their attention on improving their banking service quality, many of them still seem to be lagging behind their customers’ ever increasing demands and expectations [5] [6].

Service quality has been recognized as a key strategic issue for organizations operating in service sectors [7]. Service quality has become an increasingly important factor for success and survival in the banking industry. Due to the deepness of technology in the service sector, there is a shift from the traditional service quality to electronic service quality (ESQ) in order to fit the Internet banking strategy [8] [9]. Thus, the quality of Internet banking services has become a major area due to its strong impact on business performance, lower costs, customer satisfaction and loyalty. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to rank and prioritize the Internet Banking Service Quality dimensions (IBSQ) according to customer’s relevant importance from both types of banks namely private and public banks in Egypt using Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) technique.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Internet Banking

Internet Banking (IB) is one of the best alternatives for traditional banking [10]. It has become the self-service delivery channel that allows banks to provide information and offer services to their customers with more convenience via the web services technology [88]. It is one of the most important factors in the business economy today also especially as it is considered a lower-cost delivery channel and a way to increase sales [11].

According to annual surveys of the American Banks Association (ABA), Internet banking has become the most preferred choice of banking for the most bank customers. The ABA Survey released on 9 October 2012 shows that 39% of bank customers interviewed prefer Internet banking the most, while only 6% prefer mobile banking. Even for the most conservative group of customers who are above the age of 55, Internet banking has become the first choice of banking since 2011 according to the ABA Surveys 2011 and 2012. Although ABA surveys focus on American banking sector only, a similar pattern of the increased use of Internet banking has been observed in other countries [12].

Thus, IB contributes to increasing the efficiency of the banking operation as well providing more convenience to customers [13]. Recently, more and more traditional brick and mortar banks has been moving to the Internet which is a new fastest, easiest, advanced, highly informative and modernized way of banking, namely Internet banking services, in order to sustain their competitiveness in the market place [14] [15] and in order to keep up with the high customer expectations [16][17]; for to them, IB is more convenient and flexibility compared with retail banking [18] [19].

It is important for the banks to know the factors that influence the customer adoption of IB through focusing on improving their banking service quality [17]. Service Quality is an important element of value and is a key strategic asset of companies for applying on the market regardless of whether it is a product or a service that enable organizations to distinguish themselves from other competitors [20] [21]. However, many banks still lag behind their customer's ever-increasing demands and expectations [14] [22] [21], despite the fact that, customer satisfaction has always been regarded as a key success factor of organizations [23] [24] [22].

Internet Banking Service Quality

Service quality is a key determinant in differentiating service offers and building competitive advantages, since the costs of comparing alternatives are relatively low in online environments [25, 26]. Hence, service quality is a crucial issue in the Internet banking. However, service quality has been considered a problem e-service quality evaluation because e-service is different from traditional service with three aspects standing out: the absence of human interaction, the absence of traditional tangible dimension, and self-service of customers [27] [28] [29]. In this light, service quality is not appropriate for measuring e-service quality. Accordingly, this creates a special interest in measuring and monitoring service quality in the virtual world and in the investigation of the e-service definition and dimensions [30] [31], as some of the important factors that influence customers of the e-commerce web sites in order to develop an instrument for measuring e-service quality [32].

As a result [33] believes that how the service is delivered to the customers and how company’s websites perform is a sense of loyalty that comes from good services offered by companies. Customers evaluate both what the company offers and how it offers it; because of the lack of face-to-face interaction with service representatives, the user
interface (site design) is what customers of e-services interact with, and as such it can be expected to influence their evaluation of the overall service quality [34] [35]. That is why it is advisable that companies very well consider the design and function of their websites, because customers might get frustrated and eventually be discouraged to visit the website if it cannot be accessed easily or the work with it is very slow. Additionally, the information content of the website is considered important for online evaluations [25]. Thus e-service quality is the key success for any e-tailer doing business in the competitive global e-commerce environment, building customer loyalty and satisfaction [5] [36].

Internet Banking Service Quality Dimensions

With the increase of e-service adoption in business field, much of the studies in e-service quality take a combination of traditional service quality dimensions and web interface quality dimensions as the starting point. In 1996, [37] has studied the measures of e-service quality focusing on web site design, and argued that there are 6 basic parameters in the judgment of e-service quality: website design, reliability, and delivery, ease of use, enjoyment and control. In 1999, [16] investigated the influence of technology such as ATM, telephone, and Internet on the delivery of banking service. Their study identified another 6 underlying dimensions of electronic banking service quality: Convenience/accuracy, feedback/complaint management, efficiency, queue management, accessibility, and customization. Later in 2000, [38] have identified another four dimensions close to those identified by Dabholkar, information quality, system use, system design quality and playfulness. Another set of dimensions was identified by [39] as the most important service quality dimensions for both companies and their customers. These dimensions are trust; interactivity, ease of use, content/ functionality of websites, reliability and speed of delivery. Moreover, [40] suggest that five service quality dimensions identified by [41] can be applied in e-commerce by switching tangibility with the user interface, since it describes how the service is offered to the customers. Responsiveness could refer to the speed of company’s response to the customers. Reliability could relate to timely delivery of ordered goods, accurate information and correct links. Assurance could be interpreted as the safety of online transactions and the policy for using personal information by the company, while empathy could refer to the degree of customization of communications based on customers’ personal needs.

Attempts to develop specific measuring instruments for service quality in e-commerce initially focused on the technical dimensions of e-service quality such as website design, navigation, speed, and content [14]. However, [42] suggested that a more integrated vision of e-service quality was required based on the criteria that are used by online customers to evaluate the holistic service including both the transaction and the post-transaction experience. Also, [43] have also studied online service quality and in an attempt to better understand customers and provide services that meet their needs, they have proposed 15 different dimensions: performance, features, aesthetics, reliability, structure, storage capacity, service ability, security and system integrity, trust, product/service differentiation and customization, responsiveness, web store policies, reputation, assurance and empathy. Furthermore, [42] stated that the SERVQUAL scales by [44] cannot be applied as such to e-services but additional dimensions may be needed to fully capture the construct of e-service quality. Thus [40] and [42] emphasize that e-service quality dimensions can be added and/or eliminated as needed. In 2006, [45] have extended Parasuraman’s dimensions into a 9 dimensions scale in e-service quality to evaluate the service quality of websites in the apparel-retailing sector.

With the rapid diffusion of the technology, e-banking and Internet banking (IB) in particular has become an alternative channel to provide banking services and products through a secured website. There are several studies conducted to analyse the perceptions of IB users on dimensions. [46] has stated that the quality of information, ease of ordering and reliability are significant in IB than security aspect. [47] argued that the IB might lead to strong relationships with customers because customer expectations have a tendency to change over time. Another study undertaken by [48] on the key determinants of IB service quality concludes that a total of 17 dimensions were identified classified into 3 categories of IB service quality: Customer service quality, Online systems quality and Banking service product quality. Other factors affecting consumer acceptance and adoption of IB have been investigated in many countries such as the US [49] [50] [51] [52] [53]. In 2010, a study by [14] found five dimensions and 17 items in the measurement scale for measuring the service quality of IB. The five dimensions are named customer service, web design, assurance, preferential treatment, and information provision.

Similarly, [54] evaluate the relationship between Internet service banking quality and customer satisfaction at the bank. The study found that more customers were satisfied the entire IBSOQ dimension items while customer satisfaction was highest for the assurance dimension. The least satisfied quality item was in customer service and the internet banking system’s ability to guide customer to resolve problems. The banks management need to keep improving the web design of the internet banking portal to make it more navigable, provide sufficient information and make it easier for customers to complete online transactions. For instance, Internet banking is increasing rapidly so it is important for the banks to know the preferences of the customers. Also, [17] find out the effects of eight dimensions (web design, security, trust, product diversification, credibility, collaboration, access and communication) on the service quality perception of Internet banking. Trustworthy and secured online system would make the decision easy for the customer moving to Internet banking. Also, it is very important that their transactions and personal information must be fully secured. In case of any query there must not be communication gap and customer has access to the management and banking staff when needed. Thus, banks works on these determinants that it would surely increase its customers using Internet banking.

Accordingly, Internet banking has become a way by which banks could deliver superior service quality as a competitive tool against industry rivals [55] and improvement in the Internet service quality could be made if
customers’ satisfaction and perception of it can be measured in the first place. Effective measurement of customer satisfaction for various dimensions of Internet service quality could be very useful in the allocation of resources and in the segmentation of customers [56].

Internet Banking Service Quality in Developing Countries

In Developing countries, the findings of the study titled “Internet Banking Acceptance in the Context of Developing Countries: An Extension of the Technology Acceptance Model” by [57] indicate that the security, quality of the Internet connection and awareness about Internet banking and its benefits have significant effects on the perceived usefulness (PU) and perceived ease of use (PEOU) of Internet banking acceptance. Also, it was revealed that the effects of education and trust have significant impact on the attitude towards the acceptance Internet banking. Another study by [58] stated that the overall respondents’ perception on Internet Banking service quality was a satisfactory. The Internet Banking service quality perceptions are not influenced by whom the service provider is, age or gender. Respondents’ perceptions were neutral or indifferent on the responsiveness service quality dimension. Lastly there were five dimensions that the respondents evaluated Internet Banking service quality on, that of efficiency, performance, security, responsiveness and contact.

Moreover, [59] performed an analysis on the Internet banking and the satisfaction level of customers in Bangladesh. It has been observed through the outcomes of the research that a relationship exists between the Internet banking services and customer satisfaction level. The main dimensions examined are reliability, empathy, responsiveness and assurance which results in adequately satisfying the customers. It has been recommended that internet banking system should be made more formative and the government of Bangladesh should play a leading role in fostering the framework of Information Technology in Bangladesh.

Another paper by [60] analyses the impact of Internet banking service quality dimensions on the Overall Internet Banking Service Quality and customer satisfaction in India. The results of exploratory factor analysis (EFA) revealed five dimensions (Security/Privacy, Reliability, Efficiency, Responsiveness, and Site Aesthetics). This five dimensional model arrived through EFA was validated through a confirmatory factor analysis (CFA). The proposed research model shows acceptable fit indices. Impact of these dimensions on the Overall Internet Banking Service Quality and customer satisfaction are analysed using multiple regression technique. Findings indicate that all dimensions carry significant impact on the Overall Internet Banking Service Quality perceptions and customer satisfaction. However, Security/Privacy and Efficiency dimensions carry the maximum impact on the Overall Internet Banking Service Quality and satisfaction respectively.

Another study in Pakistan by [61] this study determines the effects of Internet banking service quality dimensions on customer satisfaction by using SERVQUAL model. The results indicate significant positive relationship between assurance, tangibility, reliability and responsiveness with customer satisfaction. Conversely, empathy shows positive but insignificant effect on customer satisfaction. It is recommended that the management of online banks have to focus on making the design and content of the websites more visually appealing to grab the attention of existing customers, as well as to attract new customers.

Finally, the key to getting more customers for the banks through the online service is not the attraction of the Internet itself but the service quality offered to the customers. This argument was supported by [62], who found that 87 per cent of Internet banking customers want to make a variety of financial transactions at one site (so called “one-stop shopping”).

Internet Banking Service Quality Dimensions Summary

After reviewing the literature related to Internet Banking service quality, a number of research questions can be raised. Which factors contribute more towards satisfaction of customers in banking system. Also, it has been found that much of the service quality research literature has focused more on service quality dimensions and its adoption based on the basic definitions. Not too many references have been found about the application of underlying theories and the reason for their choice of their service quality attributes. Thus, an exerted considerable effort in filling this gap of research literature base by developing the a previous study by the paper’s author on service quality domain by explaining the rational approach in identifying the dimensions and items of web-based service quality based on the evaluation of the criteria [62]. The current research extends the work by weighting the dimensions to set the ranking and the importance of each dimension in Internet Banking environment. The previously determined e-service quality attributes are as follows [62]:

1. **Usability** refers to the ease of navigation in which the site contains functions that help customers find what they need and allows them to move easily and quickly through the pages.
2. **Reliability** refers to the ability to perform the promised service reliably and accurately.
3. **Responsiveness** refers to the willingness to help customers and provide prompt service.
4. **Privacy** refers to degree to which customers believe the site is safe from intrusion and personal information is protected.
5. **Incentive** indicates encouraging consumers to browse and use the website by rewarding them.
6. **Fulfillment** includes accuracy of service promises, having products in stock and delivering the product within the promised time.

7. **Efficiency** refers to the ability of the customer to get to the website, find their desired product and information associated with it and to check it out with minimal effort.

8. **Assurance** refers to the knowledge and courtesy of employees and their ability to inspire customers’ trust and confidence.

9. **Empathy** refers to the caring and individualized attention the firm provides to its customers.

### Egyptian Banks

In Egypt, banks can be classified as public sector, private & joint venture, or foreign according to ownership. All specialized banks are state owned and are assigned the task of providing long term finance for real estate, agricultural and industrial development. They mainly cater to the needs of the private sector and depend in their fund raising on borrowing from financial institutions [63].

There are currently 39 banks in Egypt, 5 public and 34 private banks. The five public sector banks are the largest operating banks in terms of balance-sheet size, accounting for nearly 50% of total bank assets. They have a significant market share in retail and corporate banking services through large branch networks and close relationship with state-owned companies [64].

Based on Central Bank of Egypt in 2009, 19 out of 39 banks (58%) were offering Internet banking services [65]. However, Internet banking services have not taken off in Egypt because of low rates Internet penetration. Based on the Ministry of Communication and Information Technology (MCIT), the number of Internet users in Egypt is 32.49 million [66]. Both the website of the Central Bank of Egypt and Directory of Egypt’s Banks guided the research in locating private banks showed that only 36% had Internet Banking systems installed and 22% for public banks [67].

### 3. RESEARCH DESIGN

This paper aims to utilize IBSQ criteria for evaluating and ranking e-service quality dimensions for public and private for the Egyptian bank context through designing a structured questionnaire see in appendix. Although, AHP is widely used as decision support tool in business and industry, this current study have focused on financial sector of service industry, which is banks and financial institutions; are key decision makers for controlling application of finance, and availability in the market. AHP application in banking sector is growing; it is being combined with conventional bank evaluation or credit rating tools. With the global financial crisis, utility of AHP is being perceived higher in banking application [68].

It will be analyzed using analytical tool called Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP). AHP is a structured technique for organizing and analyzing complex decisions [69]. It was developed by Saaty in the 1970s [70] [71] and has been extensively studied and refined. He explained AHP as a theory of measurement that depends on experts’ judgments using pairwise comparisons in order to derive priority scales [70]. It is considered an excellent multi criteria decision-making tool due to its simplicity compared to the previous decision support methods. It also enables qualitative and quantitative into the same decision making methodology by giving a basis for eliciting, discussing, recording, and evaluating the elements of a decision [1]. It uses a process of pairwise comparisons to determine the relative importance and the priority of alternatives in a multi-criteria decision-making problem (MCDM) by [72] and [68, 73]. Similarly, AHP involves decomposing a complex and unstructured problem into a set of variables that are organized into a hierarchy [74] [75]. It enables decision-makers to make choices among a number of alternatives and criteria by formulating priorities and making a series of tradeoffs [72].

AHP structure is translated into a series of general questions, such as ‘How important is criterion A relative to criterion B?’ The input to AHP models is the decision maker’s answer to a series of questions and is then termed pairwise comparisons. Questions of this type may be used to establish both weights for criteria and performance scores for options on the different criteria. It is assumed that a set of criteria has already been established based on AHP model. For each pair of criteria, the decision-maker is then required to respond to a pairwise comparison question asking the relative importance of the two [76]. AHP responses are gathered in verbal form and subsequently codified on a nine-point intensity scale [68] [77]. Also, [78] determine the most accurate guidelines for assessing the pairs can be found in Table 1. In every pair we assign the degree of dominance of one element over another. The exceptional supremacy of one criterion over another can be assessed at 9, equality at 1. If the second criterion is more important than the first one record the reciprocal value. Thus we obtain the values in the region from 1/9 to 9. This model of assessment of ratios is empirically confirmed as accurate enough for the majority of problems. To reach the final assessment employs the procedure of weighted average. This can be obtained by multiplying importance of criteria and level of certainty. The values in between such as 2,4,6,8 are intermediate values that can be used to represent shades of judgment. The scale measurement from 1 to 9 in a fundamental scale of measurement listed in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preference on pair wise comparison</th>
<th>Preference Number</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Table 1. Pair-wise comparison scale for AHP preference (79; 70)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weighting</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Equally important: Two activities contribute equally to the objective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Weakly important of one over another: Experience and judgment slightly favor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>one activity over another.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Essential or Strongly important: Experience and judgment strongly favor one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>activity over another.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Demonstrated important or Very strongly important: An activity is strongly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>favored and its dominance is demonstrated in practice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Absolute importance or Extremely important: The evidence favoring one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>activity over another is the highest possible order of affirmation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 4, 6, 8</td>
<td>Intermediate values between the two adjacent judgments: When compromise is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>needed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Data Collection**

The data collected from the survey is being utilized, as these customers were familiar with the practices in their banks, they served as the evaluators to determine the relative weights against a given list of critical factors and sub-factors affecting the level of service quality. The AHP questionnaire is administered in person in Alexandria in Egypt and electronically in order to increase the diversity of respondents, increase the number of returned questionnaires, and increase the geographical accessibility. It consists of 3 parts, concerning the first and third parts are simple and easy to fill some general and demographic questions to be filled however the customers had certain difficulty with the second part in the questionnaire to rank the dimensions and prioritizing 9 pairwise comparisons to be made, although the customers tried hard and filled all the spaces in the pairwise comparison matrices. According to all literature surveys, the dimensions of the study for evaluating Internet banking service quality are determined as Usability, Reliability, Responsiveness, Privacy, Incentive, Fulfillment, Efficiency, assurance and empathy.

The study uses AHP to conduct the decision analysis to show how Internet banking users in Egypt rank the dimensions based on their level of importance, its process comprises to the following main steps [80]. The main tool used for data analysis was Microsoft Excel, since performing the calculations on spreadsheets would facilitate any statistical tests and also comparative analyses between different spreadsheets. The study has gone through AHP steps; the first step is to structure the hierarchy of the framework to represent the problem. The second one is to establish Pair-wise Comparison Matrix based on a scale of 1 to 9 as per the definition of weights then calculating the consistency of each questionnaire followed by calculating the priority vector to set the ranking of each dimension, and calculated the geometric mean for each dimension. Finally, determine their weights and synthesis to obtain the weights for the criteria.

**Sample Size**

The sampling used in this study is stratified random technique; because it attempts to restrict the possible samples to those which are "less extreme" by ensuring that all parts of the population are represented in the sample in order to increase the efficiency that is to decrease the error in the estimation. In stratified sampling the population of (N) units is first divided into disjoint groups of N1, N2,....Nh,..NL, units, respectively. These subgroups, called strata, together they compromise the whole population, so that N1+N2+...NL=N From each stratum a sample, of pre-specified size, is drawn independently in different strata. Then the collection of these samples constitutes a stratified sample. If a simple random sample selection scheme is used in each stratum then the corresponding sample is called a stratified random sample [81]. 500 questionnaires were distributed over the targeted bank customers from Alexandria in Egypt. Yet only 100 completed questionnaires were returned.

AHP is a subjective method that is not necessary to involve a large sample, and it is useful for research focusing on a specific issue where a large sample is not mandatory [82] [83] [84]. Therefore, the calculation of inconsistency ratio is vital to measure how consistent the judgments have been relative to large samples. Based on [85] [86], Consistency Ratio (CR) and the criteria weights obtained by both of them. Accepting/rejecting consistency in the analytic hierarchy process (AHP) according to saaty’s approach was depending on apportionment Consistency Index (CI) and Random Consistency Index (RCI) ≤ 0.1 consistency ratio (CR). If the value of CR is 0.1 or less, then the pair-wise reciprocal comparison matrix is considered to be consistent and acceptable.
In the current study, the number of consistent questionnaires was 67 questionnaires out of 100 questionnaires because one of the main difficulties of AHP approach is that it needs more experienced personnel to carefully select and structure the analytic hierarchy tree and to make pair-wise comparisons with consideration of offsetting effects among criteria and sub-criteria. Another challenge of AHP approach is that it can only take into consideration a limited number of factors, either regarding selection criteria or the number of potential suppliers. Too many factors will make pair-wise comparison difficult and time taking [87]. All the population of the study has already bank account and used the Internet banking services from which 100% of the sample used Internet banking service.

**DATA ANALYSIS**

Questionnaire general questions were statistically calculated and simple frequencies were derived, and are reported below:

- 50.7% agreed with IBSQ, 25.4% were strongly agree with IBSQ offered by the bank followed by 22.4% were neutral.
- 47.8% are males while 52.2% are females.
- 10.4% were less than 25 years old, 62.7% from 25 to less than 40, 17.9% between 40 to less than 60, and 9% 60 or over.
- 4.5% have between L.E. 500 and LE. 2000, 32.8% have between L.E. 2000 and 5000, and 49.3% have between L.E. 5000 and 15000, and 13.4% have more than 15000 or more.
- 56.7% were postgraduates, 41.8% had graduated, and 1.5% was no qualification.
- 7.7% were salaried employee, 17.9% business man, 1.5% were student, 1.5% were unemployed, and 9% were retired.

**AHP Technique**

The following section demonstrates how customers evaluate the Internet banking. This study formulated the AHP decision hierarchy for evaluating IBSQ. The attainment of criteria is vital for satisfying the overall objective. The dimensions in this study include Usability, Reliability, Responsiveness, Privacy, Incentives, Fulfillment, Efficiency, Assurance and empathy. Then, the pair-wise comparison matrix of the nine criteria to the overall target of ESQ was established. Table 2 shows the overall weights for criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Weights</th>
<th>Ranking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Privacy</td>
<td>0.238</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assurance</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsiveness</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulfillment</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incentives</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usability</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empathy</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above table explores practically the ideas presented in this paper. The results shown indicate that ‘Privacy’ out of the nine service quality dimensions is considered as the most important; it entails the protection and appropriate use of the personal information of customers, this is logic as the bank handles some confidential and personal information followed by the ‘Assurance’ to be very strongly important dimension this would suggest that efforts to improve the quality of interactions between a customer and a service provider are likely to have an important and positive effect on customer satisfaction also to gain trust of their customers and building good name and reputation. ‘Reliability’ is come in the third level of importance as customers found their information is accurate and available. ‘Responsiveness’ is ranked to be the fourth one; this can be explained by the fact that this dimension is mainly related to cases when the customers had some problems with the online services provided by the bank and many people seem not to have encountered such problems. ‘Efficiency’ is the fifth place in the rating of the service quality dimension then ‘Fulfillment’ comes in the sixth level followed by ‘Incentives’ weighted in the seventh level, which reflects that customers do not care about encouragement; they care more about the Internet banking services. Finally ‘Usability’ and ‘Empathy’ are
the two least dimensions because the bank customers recognize that these two dimensions are not essential for the Internet Banking. They are being more satisfied when most of the services are working well. In addition, they thought that the last three dimensions will be better ranked if they evaluated a shopping website.

4. CONCLUSION

Analytic Hierarchy Process can be used to help decision makers in banking sector. The nature of banking services encourages customers to demand the highest possible quality. In order to achieve this, it is essential to be very close to customers to capture information on customer current and future needs, expectations and perceptions. The main objective of this study was to rank and prioritise the Internet banking service quality through designing and analyzing Analytic Hierarchy process Questionnaire.

This study justifies that the application of AHP method in service quality dimensions is reasonable and it provides a robust and encompassing treatment for decision makers in both qualitative and quantitative ways. The significant difference between the present study and the previous studies is that it adds more value on the study of Internet banking prioritization and level of importance of the criteria based on their weights of each criterion.

This paper has suggested that using AHP model will perform marvelously in evaluating Internet banking service quality dimensions. The AHP methodology is used to assign relative importance of feature weighting for the nine criteria. This methodology is an effective means for weight extraction from multiple expert judgments. From customer perspective, the top priority is privacy aspect then followed by Assurance, Reliability, Responsiveness, Efficiency, Fulfillment, Incentives, Usability and Empathy respectively.

In Future Research, more general customers should be interviewed for obtaining a generalization result. Also, this idea can be applied in other countries and the differences in the priority sets can constitute a basis for concluding the cultural effects. Although the findings of this study can provide insight and understanding for banking website managers to develop customized websites that cater much better to the needs of today's sophisticated customers, some interesting points may be worth investigation for further researches.
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Appendix

AHP Questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Possible answers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Do you have a bank account?</td>
<td>Yes / No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I’m satisfied with service quality of the Internet banking offered by my bank</td>
<td>Strongly agree/ Agree/neutral/ Disagree/ Strongly disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Compare the relative importance of the following criteria in comparison when you use Internet banking.</td>
<td>9 = extremely important /7 = very strongly important/ 5 =strongly important / 3 =weakly important/ 1=equally important/ 2, 4, 6, 8 (intermediate scales between two adjacent judgments) please circle one per row.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Usability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Privacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reliability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Responsiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fulfillment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Assurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Empathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privacy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privacy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reliability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privacy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Responsiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privacy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Compensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privacy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fulfillment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privacy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Assurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privacy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Empathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Responsiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fulfillment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability</td>
<td>Empathy</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Reliability</td>
<td>Assurance</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsiveness</td>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Responsiveness</td>
<td>Incentive</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsiveness</td>
<td>Fulfillment</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Responsiveness</td>
<td>Empathy</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsiveness</td>
<td>Assurance</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>Incentive</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>Fulfillment</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>Assurance</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>Empathy</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incentive</td>
<td>Fulfillment</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Incentive</td>
<td>Assurance</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incentive</td>
<td>Empathy</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Incentive</td>
<td>Empathy</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulfillment</td>
<td>Assurance</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Fulfillment</td>
<td>Empathy</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assurance</td>
<td>Empathy</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Assurance</td>
<td>Empathy</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Gender
5 Age
6 Level of education
7 Occupation
8 Monthly Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Male/Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>&lt; 25/25 &lt; 40/40 &lt; 60/ 60 and above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Level of education</td>
<td>Post graduate/Graduate/Average qual. No qual.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td>Student/ Salaried employee/ Business owner/ Retired/ I don't work.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>